Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Minimax theorems for set-valued maps without continuity assumptions

Monica Patriche

University of Bucharest e-mail: `monica.patriche@yahoo.com`

The date of receipt and acceptance will be inserted by the editor

arXiv:1304.0339v1 [math.OC] 1 Apr 2013

Abstract We introduce several classes of set-valued maps with generalized convexity: S-transfer μ-convex, S,ν-convex, transfer τ-convex and weakly $z-$convex set valued maps. We obtain minimax theorems for set-valued maps which satisfy the introduced properties and are not continuous, by using a fixed point theorem for weakly naturally quasi-concave set-valued maps defined on a simplex in a topological vector space.

Key words minimax theorems, fixed point theorem, weakly naturally quasi-concave set valued map, S-transfer μ-convex set valued map, S,τ-convex set valued map, transfer γ-convex set valued map, weakly $z-$convex set valued map.

1 Introduction

The classical Ky Fan inequalities [4], [5], [6] are an undeniably important tool in the study of many important results concerning the variational inequalities, game theory, mathematical economics, control theory and fixed point theory. e.g., see [1], [2], [7], [8], [9], [11]-[15], [17]-[19], [21]-[29] and the references therein. In recent years, many generalizations have been successfully obtained and here we must emphasize the study of Ky Fan minimax theorems for vector-valued mappings and for set-valued maps. We refer the reader, for instance, to Li and Wang [13], Luo [17], Zhang and Li [28], [29], Zhang, Cheng and Li [27]. In [18], Nessah and Tian search the condition concerning the existence of solution of minimax inequalities for real-valued mappings, without convexity and compactness assumptions. They define

---

Correspondence to: Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
14 Academiei Street, Bucharest, Romania

the local dominatedness property and prove that it is necessary and further, under some mild continuity condition, sufficient for the existence of equilibrium in minimax inequalities. This type of characterization of the solution for minimax theorems leads us to the question whether similar results can be obtained, but by keeping the convexity conditions and by giving up the continuity ones over the set-valued maps.

In this paper, we study vector minimax inequalities for set-valued maps. We give up the condition of continuity of the set-valued maps and, instead, we work with some new classes of generalized convexity which we introduce. In order to prove our results, we use a fixed point theorem for weakly naturally quasi-concave set-valued maps defined on a simplex in a topological vector space (see [20]). Our proving techiques are similar to Zhang and Li's ones (see [29]), in which the fixed point theorems for set-valued maps play an important role.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notations and preliminary results. In Section 3, the convex-type properties for set-valued maps are defined and some exemples are given. In Section 4, we obtain two types of Ky Fan minimax inequalities for set-valued maps. We also provide some examples to illustrate our results. Concluding remarks are presented in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries and notation

Throughout this paper, we shall use the following notations and definitions:

Let A be a subset of a topological space X. 2^A denotes the family of all subsets of A and $\overline{A}$ denotes the closure of A in X. If A is a subset of a vector space, co$A$ denotes the convex hull of A. If F, $G : X \to 2^Z$ are set-valued maps, then co$G$, cl$G$, $G \cap F : X \to 2^Z$ are set-valued maps defined by $(\mathrm{co}G)(x) = \mathrm{co}G(x)$, $(\mathrm{cl}G)(x) = \mathrm{cl}G(x)$ and $(G \cap F)(x) = G(x) \cap F(x)$ for each $x \in X$, respectively.

In this paper we will consider E and Z be real Hausdorff topological vector spaces and we will assume that S is a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior int$S \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 1 *(see [10]). Let $A \subset Z$ be a nonempty subset.*
(i) A point $z \in A$ is said to be a minimal point of A iff $A \cap (z - S) = \{z\}$, and MinA denotes the set of all minimal points of A.
(ii) A point $z \in A$ is said to be a weakly minimal point of A iff $A \cap (z - intS) = \emptyset$, and $Min_w A$ denotes the set of all weakly minimal points of A.
(iii) A point $z \in A$ is said to be a maximal point of A iff $A \cap (z + S) = \{z\}$, and MaxA denotes the set of all maximal points of A.
(iv) A point $z \in A$ is said to be a weakly maximal point of A iff $A \cap (z + intS) = \emptyset$, and $Max_w A$ denotes the set of all weakly maximal points of

A.

It is easy to verify that Min$A$ $\subset$Min$_wA$ and Max$A$ $\subset$Max$_wA$.

Lemma 1 *(see [7])Let* $A \subset Z$ *be a nonempty compact subset. Then (i)* $MinA \neq \emptyset$; *(ii)* $A \subset MinA+S$; *(iii)* $A \subset Min_wA+intS\cup\{0_F\}$; *(iv)*$MaxA \neq \emptyset$; *(v)* $A \subset MinA - S$; *(vi)* $A \subset Max_wA-intS \cup \{0_F\}$

We present the following types of generalized convex mappings and set-valued maps.

Definition 2 *Let* X *be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space* E, Z *be real topological vector space and* S *be a pointed closed convex cone in* Z *with its interior* $intS \neq \emptyset$. *Let* $T : X \to 2^Z$ *be a set-valued map with nonempty values.*
(i) T *is said to be properly* $S-$*quasiconvex on* X, *if for any* $x_1, x_2 \in X$ *and* $\lambda \in [0,1]$, *either* $T(x_1) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) + S$ *or* $T(x_2) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) + S$.
T *is said to be properly* $S-$*quasiconcave on* X, *if* $-T$ *is properly* $S-$*quasiconvex on* X.
(ii) T *is said to be* $S-$*quasiconvex [16] on* X, *if for any point* $y \in F$, *the level set* $Lev_E(z) := \{x \in X : \exists t \in T(x) \ s.t. \ t \in z - S\}$ *is convex.*
T *is said to be* $S-$*quasiconcave on* X, *if* $-T$ *is* $S-$*quasiconvex on* X.

Definition 3 *(see [23]) Let* X *be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space* E, *let* Y *be a subset of a topological vector space* Z *and* S *be a pointed closed convex cone in* Z *with its interior* $intS \neq \emptyset$. *A vector-valued mapping* $f : X \to Y$ *is said to be natural* $S-$*quasiconvex on* X *if* $f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \in co\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} - S$ *for every* $x_1, x_2 \in X$ *and* $\lambda \in [0,1]$. *This condition is equivalent with the following condition: there exists* $\mu \in [0,1]$ *such that* $f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \leq_S \mu f(x_1) + (1-\mu) f(x_2)$, *where* $x \leq_S y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in S$.
A vector-valued mapping f *is said to be* natural $S-$quasiconcave *on* X *if* $-f$ *is natural quasi* $S-$*convex on* X.

Definition 4 *(see [27]) Let* Y *be a subset of a topological vector space* Z, *let* X *be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space* E *and* S *be a pointed closed convex cone in* Z *with its interior* $intS \neq \emptyset$. *The set-valued map* $T : X \to 2^Y$ *is said to be* naturally S-quasi-concave *on* X, *if for any* x_1,$x_2 \in X$ *and* $\lambda \in [0,1]$, $co(T(x_1) \cup T(x_2)) \subset T(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) - S$.

Let $\mathit{\Delta}_{n-1} = \left\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_i \geqslant 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n\right\}$ be the standard (n-1)-dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 5 *(see [6]) Let* X *be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space* E *and* Y *be a non-empty subset of* E*. The set-valued map* $T : X \longrightarrow 2^Y$ *is said to have* weakly convex graph *(in short, it is a WCG correspondence) if for each* $n \in N$ *and for each finite set* $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} \subset X$*, there exists* $y_i \in T(x_i)$*,* $(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ *such that*

$$(1.1) \qquad co(\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}) \subset Gr(T)$$

The relation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i \in T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i) \qquad (\forall (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}).$$

We introduced in [2] the concept of weakly naturally quasi-concave set-valued map.

Definition 6 *(see [20])Let* X *be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space* E *and* Y *be a nonempty subset of a topological vector space* Z*. The set-valued map* $T : X \longrightarrow 2^Y$ *is said to be* weakly naturally quasi-concave (WNQ) *if for each* n *and for each finite set* $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} \subset X$*, there exists* $y_i \in T(x_i)$*,* $(i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ *and* $g = (g_1, g_2, ..., g_n) : \Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_{n-1}$ *a mapping with* g_i *continuous,* $g_i(1) = 1$ *and* $g_i(0) = 0$ *for each* $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$*, such that* $\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(\lambda_i) y_i \in T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i)$ *for every* $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$*.*

Remark 1 If $g_i(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i$ for each $i \in (1, 2, ..., n)$ and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$, we get a set-valued map with weakly convex graph, as it is defined by Ding and He Yiran in [3]. In the same time, the weakly naturally quasi-concavity is a weakening of the notion of naturally S-quasi-concavity with $S = \{0\}$.

Remark 2 If T is a single valued mapping, then it must be natural S-quasiconcave for $S = \{0\}$.

Example 1 (see [20]) Let $T : [0, 4] \to 2^{[-2,2]}$ be defined by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} [0, 2] \text{ if } x \in [0, 2); \\ [-2, 0] \quad \text{if} \quad x = 2; \\ (0, 2] \text{ if } x \in (2, 4]. \end{cases}$$

T is neither upper semicontinuous, nor lower semicontinuous in 2. T also has not weakly convex graph, since if we consider $n = 2$, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 3$, we have that $\text{co}\{(1, y_1), (3, y_2)\} \not\subseteq \text{Gr}T$ for every $y_1 \in T(x_1), y_2 \in T(x_2)$. We notice that T is not naturally $\{0\}-$quasiconcave, but it is weakly naturally quasiconcave.

We proved in [20] the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 1 *(see [20])Let Y be a non-empty subset of a topological vector space E and K be a $(n-1)$- dimensional simplex in E. Let $T : K \to 2^Y$ be an weakly naturally quasi-concave set-valued map and $s : Y \to K$ be a continuous function. Then, there exists $x^* \in K$ such that $x^* \in s \circ T(x^*)$.*

3 Several classes of set-valued maps with generalized convexity

In this section we introduce definitions concerning different types of cone-transfer convexity.

Definition 7 *Let X be a convex set of a topological vector space E, let Y be a nonempty set in the topological vector space Z and let $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be a set valued map with nonempty values. F is called $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex on $X \times X$ if, for each n, for every $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ and $z \in Y$, then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, there exists $z_i = z_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, z) \in Y$ such that we have:*
i) $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap (\bigcup_{y\in X} F(x_i, y)) \subset F(x_i, z_i) - S$ for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ with the property $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap Max_w F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, X) \neq \emptyset$ and
ii) $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap (\bigcup_{y\in X} F(x_i, y)) \subset F(x_i, z_i) - intS$ for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ with the property $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap Max_w F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, X) = \emptyset$.
F is called $S-$transfer $\mu-$concave on $X \times X$ if $-F$ is $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex on $X \times X$.

Example 2 Let $X = [0, 1]$, $Y = [-1, 1]$, $S = [0, \infty)$ and $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be defined by $F(x, y) = \begin{cases} [-1, y] \text{ if } 0 \le x \le y \le 1; \\ [-x, y] \text{ if } 0 \le y < x \le 1. \end{cases}$

F is $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex.

Let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ and $z \in Y$.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $\bigcup_{y\in X} F(x_i, y) = [-1, 1]$. $\text{Max}_w \bigcup_{y\in X} F(x_i, y) = \{1\}$and

$$F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) = \begin{cases} [-1, z] & \text{if} \quad 0 \le \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \le z \le 1; \\ [-\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z] & \text{if } 0 \le z < \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \le 1. \end{cases}$$

For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, there exists $z_i \in Y$, $z_i \ge \max\{z, x_i\}$, so that $F(x_i, z_i) = [-1, z_i]$ and then:

i) if $z = 1$, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap \text{Max}_w \bigcup_{y\in X} F(x_i, y) = F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap \{1\} \neq \emptyset$ and $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \subset F(x_i, z_i) - S$ and

ii) if $z < 1$, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap \text{Max}_w \bigcup_{y\in X} F(x_i, y) = F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \cap \{1\} = \emptyset$ and $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \subset F(x_i, z_i) - \text{int}S$

Definition 8 *Let X be a convex set of a topological vector space E and let Y be a nonempty set in the topological vector space Z.*
The mapping $f : X \times X \to Y$ is called $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex on $X \times X$

if, for each n*, for every* $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ *and* $z \in Y$*, then, for each* $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$*, there exists* $z_i = z_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, z) \in Y$ *such that if* $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \in \bigcup_{y\in X} f(x_i, y)$ *for each* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$*, we have that:*
i) $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \in f(x_i, z_i) - S$ *for each* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ *with the property that* $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \in Max_w(\bigcup_{y\in X} f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, y))$ *and* $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \in f(x_i, z_i) - intS$ *for each* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ *with the property that* $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \notin Max_w(\bigcup_{y\in X} F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, y))$*.*
The mapping f *is called* $S-$*transfer* $\mu-$*concave on* $X\times X$ *if* $-f$ *is* $S-$*transfer* $\mu-$*convex on* $X \times X$*.*

Example 3 Let $X = [0, 1]$, $Y = [-1, 0]$, $S = [0, \infty)$ and $f : X \times X \to Y$ be defined by $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } 0 \le x \le y \le 1; \\ x \text{ if } 0 \le y < x \le 1. \end{cases}$

f is $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex.

Let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ and $z \in Y$.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $\bigcup_{y\in X} f(x_i, y) = \{x_i, 1\}$, $\text{Max}_w \bigcup_{y\in X} f(x_i, y) = \{1\}$ and we have that for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$, if $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \in \{x_i, 1\}$, there exists $z_i \in Y$, $z_i \ge \max\{z, x_i\}$, so that $f(x_i, z_i) = 1$, and then:

i) if $z = 1$ and $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) = 1$ for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$, we have that $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \in f(x_i, z_i) - S$ and

ii) if $z < 1$ and $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \ne 1$ for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$, we have that $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, z) \in f(x_i, z_i) - \text{int}S$

Definition 9 *Let* X *be a convex set of a topological vector space* E*, let* Y *be a nonempty set in the topological vector space* Z *and let* $F : X \to 2^Y$ *be a set-valued map with nonempty values.*
F *is called* $S, \nu-$*convex on* X *if, for each* n *and for every* $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i) \subset \cap_{i\in\{1,2,...,n\}} F(x_i) + S$*.*
F *is called* $S, \nu-$*concave on* X *if* $-F$ *is* $S, \nu-$*convex.*

Example 4 Let $X = [0, 1]$, $Y = [0, 1]$, $S = [0, \infty)$ and $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be defined by $F(x, y) = \begin{cases} [0, 1] \text{ if } 0 \le x \le y \le 1; \\ [0, x] \text{ if } 0 \le y < x \le 1. \end{cases}$

For each $x \in X$, $F(x, \cdot)$ is $S, \nu-$*convex.*

Let $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ and $x \in X$ be fixed. Then,

$F(x, y_i) = \begin{cases} [0, 1] \text{ if } 0 \le x \le y_i \le 1; \\ [0, x] \text{ if } 0 \le y_i < x \le 1. \end{cases}$,

$F(x, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i) = \begin{cases} [0, 1] \text{ if } 0 \le x \le \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i \le 1; \\ [0, x] \text{ if } 0 \le \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i < x \le 1. \end{cases}$ and

$\cap_{i\in\{1,2,...,n\}} F(x, y_i) = \begin{cases} [0, 1] \text{ if } 0 \le x \le y_i \le 1 \text{ for each } i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}; \\ [0, x] \text{ if } \exists\ i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \text{ s. t. } 0 \le y_{i_0} < x \le 1. \end{cases}$.

It follows that for each $x \in X$, $F(x, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i) \subset \cap_{i\in\{1,2,...,n\}} F(x, y_i) + S$.

Definition 10 *Let X be a convex set of a topological vector space E and let Y be a nonempty set in the topological vector space Z.*
The mapping $f : X \to 2^Y$ is called $S, \nu-$convex on X if, for every $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$, $f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i) \in f(x_i) + S$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ...n\}$.
The mapping $f : X \to 2^Y$ is called $S, \nu-$concave on X if $-f$ is $S, \nu-$convex on X.

Example 5 Let $X = [0, 1]$, $Y = [0, 1]$, $S = [0, \infty)$ and $f : X \times X \to Y$ be defined by $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1; \\ x \text{ if } 0 \leq y < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$

For each $x \in X$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is $S, \nu-$*convex.*
Let $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$.
$f(x, y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq y_i \leq 1; \\ x \text{ if } 0 \leq y_i < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$ and
$f(x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i \leq 1; \\ x \text{ if } 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$
It follows that $f(x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i) \in f(x, y_i) + S$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ...n\}$.

Definition 11 *Let X be a convex set of a topological vector space E, Y be a nonempty set in the topological vector space Z and let $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be a set-valued map with nonempty values.*
F is called transfer $\tau-$concave on $X \times X$ if, for every $x \in X$ and $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$, the existence of an index $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ with the property that $F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap Min_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0}) \neq \emptyset$ implies $F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap Min_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0}) \subset F(x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i)$ for every $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$.
F is called transfer $\tau-$convex on $X \times X$ if, for every $x \in X$ and $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$, the existence of an index $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ with the property that $F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap Max_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0}) \neq \emptyset$ implies $F(x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i) \subset F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap$ $\cap Max_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0})$ for every $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$.

Example 6 Let $X = [0, 1]$, $Y = [0, 1]$, $S = [0, \infty)$ and $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be defined by $F(x, y) = \begin{cases} [0, 1] \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1; \\ [0, x] \text{ if } 0 \leq y < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$

F is transfer $\tau-$concave.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $\cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_i) = [0, 1]$.

There exists i_0 such that $F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0}) = F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$.

We also have $\{0\} = F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0}) \subset F(x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i)$ for every $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$.

Definition 12 *Let X be a convex set of a topological vector space E, let Y be a nonempty set in the topological vector space Z and let $f : X \times X \to Y$. The mapping f is called transfer $\tau'-$concave on $X \times X$ if, for every $x \in X$ and $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$, the existence of an index $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ with the*

property that $f(x,y_1) = f(x,y_2) = ... = f(x,y_n) \in Min_w \cup_{x\in X} f(x,y_{i_0})$ *implies* $f(x,y_i) = f(x,\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i y_i)$ *for each* $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ *and for each* $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,...,\lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$.
The mapping f *is called transfer* $\tau'-$*convex on* $X\times X$ *if, for every* $x\in X$ *and* $y_1,y_2,...,y_n \in X$, *the existence of an index* $i_0 \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ *with the property that* $f(x,y_1) = f(x,y_2) = ... = f(x,y_n) \in Max_w \cup_{x\in X} f(x,y_{i_0})$ *implies* $f(x,y_i) = f(x,\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i y_i)$ *for each* $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ *and for each* $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,...,\lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$.

Example 7 Let $X=[0,1]$, $Y=[0,1]$, $S=[0,\infty)$ and $f: X\times X\to Y$ be defined by $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } 0\le x\le y\le 1; \\ x \text{ if } 0\le y< x\le 1. \end{cases}$

f is transfer $\tau'-$concave.

We notice that for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$, $\cup_{x\in X} f(x,y_i) = [0,1]$ and $\mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x\in X} f(x,y_i) = \{0\}$.

If $f(x,y_i) \in \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x\in X} f(x,y_i)$ for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$, it follows that, for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$, $0\le x\le y_i\le 1$. Therefore, $0\le x\le \sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i y_i \le 1$ for each $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,...,\lambda_n)\in\Delta_{n-1}$, then, $f(x,\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i y_i) = 0 = f(x,y_i)$ for each $i\in\{0,1,...,n\}$.

4 Minimax theorems for set-valued maps without continuity

In this section, we establish some generalized Ky Fan minimax inequalities.

Firstly, we are proving the following lemma, which is comparable with Lemma 3.1 in [29], but our result does not involve continuity assumptions. Ue use instead the generalized convexity properties for set-valued maps introduced in Section 3.

Lemma 2 *Let* X *be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space* E, Y *be a compact set in the Hausdorff topological vector space* Z *and let* S *be a pointed closed convex cone in* Z *with its interior* $intS \neq \emptyset$. *Let* $F: X\times X\to 2^Y$ *be a set-valued map with nonempty values such that* $\bigcup_{y\in X} F(x,y)$ *is a compact set for each* $x\in X$.
(i) If F *is* $S-$*transfer* $\mu-$*convex on* $X\times X$, $F(x,\cdot)$ *is properly* $S-$*quasiconvex on* X *for each* $x\in X$ *and* $F(\cdot,y)$ *is naturally* $S-$*quasiconcave on* X *for each* $y\in X$, *then there exists* $x^*\in X$ *such that* $F(x^*,x^*)\cap Max_w \bigcup_{y\in X} F(x^*,y) \neq\emptyset$.
(ii) If F *is transfer* $\tau-$*concave on* $X\times X$, $F(\cdot,y)$ *is properly* $S-$*quasiconcave on* X *for each* $y\in X$ *and* $F(x,\cdot)$ *is* $S,\nu-$*convex on* X *for each* $x\in X$, *then, there exists* $y^*\in X$ *such that* $F(y^*,y^*)\cap Min_w \cup_{x\in X} F(x,y^*) \neq\emptyset$.

Proof. (i) Let us define the set-valued map $T: X\to 2^X$ by
$T(x) = \{y\in X : F(x,y)\cap \mathrm{Max}_w \cup_{z\in X} F(x,z) \neq\emptyset\}$ for each $x\in X$.

We claim that T is nonempty valued. Indeed, since $\cup_{y\in X}F(x,y)$ is a compact set for each $x\in X$, by Lemma 1, $\mathrm{Max}_w \cup_{z\in X} F(x,z)\neq\emptyset$. For each $x\in X$, let $z_x \in\mathrm{Max}_w \cup_{z\in X} F(x,z)$. Then, there exists $y_x\in X$ such that $z_x\in F(x,y_x)$ and then, $y_x\in T(x)=\{y\in X: F(x,y)\cap\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x,z)\}$. Consequently, $T(x)\neq\emptyset$ for each $x\in X$.

We will prove further that T is weakly naturally quasi-concave.

Let $x_1,x_2,...,x_n\in X$. For each $i\in 1,...,n$, there exists $y_i\in T(x_i)$, that is $F(x_i,y_i)\cap\mathrm{Max}_w\bigcup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z)\neq\emptyset$.

We assume, by contrary, that T is not weakly naturally quasi-concave. Then, for each $g=(g_1,g_2,...,g_n):\mathit{\Delta}_{n-1}\to\mathit{\Delta}_{n-1}$ with g_i continuous, $g_i(1)=1$, $g_i(0)=0$ for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$, there exists $\lambda^g=(\lambda_1^g,\lambda_2^g,...,\lambda_n^g)$ $\in\mathit{\Delta}_{n-1}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i\notin T(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i)$, that is $F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,$ $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,z)=\emptyset$.

Since $F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\subset F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,X)$, by Lemma 1,
$F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\subset\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,z)-\mathrm{int}S\cup\{0_F\}$.

We have that $F(\cdot,z)$ is naturally $S-$quasiconcave on X for each $z\in X$, then $\mathrm{co}\{F(x_1,z)\cup...\cup F(x_n,z)\}\subset F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,z)-S$ for each $z\in X$.

It follows that for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$, $F(x_i,z)\subset F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,z)-S\subset\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,z)-\mathrm{int}S\cup\{0_F\}$ for each $z\in X$, in particular $F(x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\subset\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,z)-\mathrm{int}S\cup\{0_F\}$.

Since F is $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex and also $F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap$ $\cap\mathrm{Max}_w F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,X)=\emptyset$, it follows that there exists $z_{i_0}\in X$ such that $F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap(\bigcup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z))\subset F(x_i,z_{i_0})-\mathrm{int}S$. Let $t\in F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap(\bigcup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z))$ and for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$, let $u_i\in F(x_i,z_{i_o})$ such that $t=u_i-s_i$, $s_i\in\mathrm{int}S$. Since $t\in F(x_i,z_{i_0})-s$, $s\in\mathrm{int}S$, it follows that $u_i\in\bigcup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z)\cap\{t+\mathrm{int}S\}\neq\emptyset$, that is $t\in F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap(\bigcup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z))$ implies the fact that $t\notin\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z)$. Consequently, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap$ $\cap\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z)=\emptyset$ for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$.

We claim that $F(x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z)=\emptyset$ for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$.

Indeed, if we assume, by contrary, that there exists $i_0\in\{1,2,...,n\}$ and $t\in F(x_{i_0},\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)$ such that $t\in\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_{i_0},z)$, then $t\in F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)-S$ and $t\in\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_{i_0},z)$. We have that $t=t'-s_0$, where $t'\in F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)$ and $s_0\in S$, therefore $t'=t+s_0\in F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)$ and $F(x_{i_0},z)\cap\{t+\mathrm{int}S\}=\emptyset$. Consequently, $t'+s=t+s_0+s$, and $s_0\in S$, $s\in\mathrm{int}S$ implies $s'=s_0+s\in\mathrm{int}S$ and $t'+s\notin F(x_{i_0},z)$, that is $F(x_{i_0},z)\cap\{t'+\mathrm{int}S\}=\emptyset$, which implies $t'\in\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_{i_0},z)$. Therefore, $t'\in F(\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i^g x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap$ $\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_{i_0},z)$, contradiction. It remains that $F(x_i,\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g)y_i)\cap$ $\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_i,z)=\emptyset$ for each $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$.

Since for each $x\in X$, $F(x,\cdot)$ is properly $S-$quasiconvex on X, there exists $j\in\{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $F(x_j,y_j)\cap\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{z\in X}F(x_j,z)=\emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, according to fixed point Theorem 1, there exists $x^*\in T(x^*)$, that is, $F(x^*,x^*)\cap\mathrm{Max}_w\bigcup_{y\in X}F(x^*,y)\neq\emptyset$.

(ii) Let us define the set-valued map $Q : X \to 2^X$ by $Q(y) = \{x \in X : F(x,y) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{z \in X} F(z,y) \neq \emptyset\}$ for each $y \in X$.

We claim that Q is nonempty valued. Indeed, since $\cup_{x \in X} F(x,y)$ is a compact set for each $y \in X$, according to Lemma 1, $\mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x,y) \neq \emptyset$. For each $y \in X$, let $z_y \in \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x,y)$. Then, there exists $x_y \in X$ such that $z_y \in F(x_y, y)$ and then, $x_y \in Q(y) = \{x \in X : F(x,y) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x,y)\}$. Consequently, $Q(y) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in X$.

We will prove further that Q is weakly naturally quasi-concave.

Let $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$. For each $i \in 1, ..., n$, there exists $x_i \in Q(y_i)$, that is $F(x_i, y_i) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \bigcup_{x \in X} F(x, y_i) \neq \emptyset$.

We assume, by contrary, that Q is not weakly naturally quasi-concave. Then, for each $g = (g_1, g_2, ..., g_n) : \varDelta_{n-1} \to \varDelta_{n-1}$ with g_i continuous, $g_i(1) = 1$, $g_i(0) = 0$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, there exists $\lambda^g = (\lambda_1^g, \lambda_2^g, ..., \lambda_n^g) \in \varDelta_{n-1}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i \notin Q(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i)$, that is $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i) = \emptyset$.

$F(x,\cdot)$ is $S, \nu-$convex on X, then, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i) \subset F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, y_i) + S$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ...n\}$. Since $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, y_i) \subset F(X, y_i)$, according to Lemma 1, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, y_i) \subset \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_i) + \mathrm{int} S \cup \{0_F\}$ and then, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i) \subset \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_i) + \mathrm{int} S \cup \{0_F\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

It follows that $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_i) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

We claim that $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, y_i) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_i) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

Indeed, if we assume, by contrary, that there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $t \in F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, y_{i_0}) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0})$, since F is transfer $\tau-$concave, it follows that $t \in F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i)$. Further, we have that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $t \in F(x_0, y_{i_0})$ and $\cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0}) \cap \{t - \mathrm{int} S\} = \emptyset$. This fact means $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^g y_i) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_{i_0}) \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. It remains that $F(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\lambda_i^g) x_i, y_i) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_i) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

Since for each $y \in X$, $F(\cdot, y)$ is properly $S-$quasiconvex on X, there exists $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $F(x_j, y_j) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y_j) = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

The conditions from the fixed point Theorem 1 are fulfilled, so that there exists $y^* \in Q(y^*)$, that is, $F(y^*, y^*) \cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x \in X} F(x, y^*) \neq \emptyset$. □

As a first application of the previous lemma, we obtain the following result, which differs from Theorem 3.1 in [29] by the fact that we took in consideration only the hypothesis which concern convexity properties of set-valued maps. No form of continuity is assumed.

Theorem 2 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior int$S \neq \emptyset$. Let $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be a set-valued map with nonempty values such that $\cup_{y \in X} F(x,y)$ is a compact set for each $x \in X$.*

If F *is* $S-$*transfer* $\mu-$*convex on* $X\times X$, $F(x,\cdot)$ *is properly* $S-$*quasiconvex on* X *for each* $x\in X$ *and* $F(\cdot,y)$ *is naturally* $S-$*quasiconcave on* X *for each* $y\in X$, *then, there exist* $z_1\in Max\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}$ *and* $z_2\in Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X}Max_wF(x,X)}$ *such that* $z_1\in z_2+S$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2, there exists $x^*\in X$ such that $F(x^*,x^*)\cap \mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{y\in X}F(x^*,y)\neq\emptyset$.

We have $F(x^*,x^*)\subset\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}$ and, by Lemma 1, it follows that $\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}\subset\mathrm{Max}\,\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}-S$, so that, $F(x^*,x^*)\subset\mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}-S$.

On the other hand, $\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{y\in X}F(x^*,y)\subset\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_wF(x,X)}$ and, by Lemma 1, it follows that $\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_wF(x,X)}\subset\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_wF(x,X)}+S$, so that, $\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{y\in X}F(x^*,y)\subset\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_wF(x,X)}+S$.

Hence, for every $u\in F(x^*,x^*)$ and $v\in\mathrm{Max}_w\cup_{y\in X}F(x^*,y)$, there exist $z_1\in\mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}$ and $z_2\in\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_wF(x,X)}$ such that $u\in z_1-S$ and $v\in z_2+S$.

If we take $u=v$, we have $z_1\in z_2+S$. □

Example 8 Let $X=[0,1]$, $Y=[-1,1]$, $S=[0,\infty)$ and $F:X\times X\to 2^Y$ be defined by $F(x,y)=\begin{cases}[-1,y] \text{ if } 0\le x\le y\le 1;\\ [-x,y] \text{ if } 0\le y<x\le 1.\end{cases}$

We notice that F is not continuous on X, but F is $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex.

(a) We prove that $F(x,\cdot)$ is properly $S-$quasiconcave on X.

Let $x\in[0,1]$ be fixed, $y_1,y_2\in[0,1]$, $\lambda\in[0,1]$ and $y(\lambda)=\lambda y_1+(1-\lambda)y_2$.

(a1) If $y_1\ge y_2\ge x$, $F(x,y_1)=[-1,y_1]$, $F(x,y_2)=[-1,y_2]$ and $F(x,y(\lambda))=[-1,y(\lambda)]$.

Since $y_1\ge y(\lambda)\ge y_2\ge x$, we have that $F(x,y_2)\subset F(x,y(\lambda))-[0,\infty)$.

(a2) If $y_1\le y_2\le x$, $F(x,y_1)=[-x,y_1]$, $F(x,y_2)=[-x,y_2]$ and $F(x,y(\lambda))=[-x,y(\lambda)]$.

Since $y_1\le y(\lambda)\le y_2\le x$, we have that $F(x,y_1)\subset F(x,y(\lambda))-[0,\infty)$.

(a3) If $y_1\ge x\ge y_2$, $F(x,y_1)=[-1,y_1]$, $F(x,y_2)=[-x,y_2]$.

If $y_1\ge y(\lambda)\ge x\ge y_2$, $F(x,y(\lambda))=[-1,y(\lambda)]$ and, consequently, $F(x,y_2)\subset F(x,y(\lambda))-[0,\infty)$.

If $y_1\ge x\ge y(\lambda)\ge y_2$, $F(x,y(\lambda))=[-x,y(\lambda)]$ and, consequently, $F(x,y_2)\subset F(x,y(\lambda))-[0,\infty)$.

(b) We prove that $F(\cdot,y)$ is naturally $S-$quasiconcave on X.

Let $y\in[0,1]$ be fixed, $x_1,x_2\in[0,1]$, $\lambda\in[0,1]$ and $x(\lambda)=\lambda x_1+(1-\lambda)x_2$.

(b1) If $x_1\ge x_2\ge y$, $F(x_1,y)=[-x_1,y]$, $F(x_2,y)=[-x_2,y]$ and $F(x(\lambda),y)=[-x(\lambda),y]$

$\mathrm{co}\{F(x_1,y)\cup F(x_2,y)\}=[-x_1,y]\subset[-x(\lambda),y]-[0,\infty)=F(x(\lambda),y)-[0,\infty)$

(b2) If $x_1\le x_2\le y$, $F(x_1,y)=[-1,y]$, $F(x_2,y)=[-1,y]$ and $F(x(\lambda),y)=[-1,y]$

$\mathrm{co}\{F(x_1,y)\cup F(x_2,y)\} = [-1,y] \subset [-1,y]-[0,\infty) = F(x(\lambda),y)-[0,\infty)$

(b3) If $x_1 \geq y \geq x_2$, $F(x_1,y) = [-x_1,y]$, $F(x_2,y) = [-1,y]$ and
$\mathrm{co}\{F(x_1,y)\cup F(x_2,y)\} = [-1,y]$
If $x_1 \geq x(\lambda) \geq y \geq x_2$, $F(x(\lambda),y) = [-x(\lambda),y]$ and
$\mathrm{co}\{F(x_1,y)\cup F(x_2,y)\} = [-1,y] \subset [-x(\lambda),y]-[0,\infty) = F(x(\lambda),y)-[0,\infty)$
If $x_1 \geq y \geq x(\lambda) \geq x_2$, $F(x(\lambda),y) = [-1,y]$ and
$\mathrm{co}\{F(x_1,y)\cup F(x_2,y)\} = [-1,y] \subset [-1,y]-[0,\infty) = F(x(\lambda),y)-[0,\infty)$

$\cup_{y\in X}F(x,y) = \cup_{y<x}[-x,y]\cup\cup_{y\geq x}[-1,y] = [-x,x]\cup[-1,1] = [-1,1]$ is a compact set.

All the assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled, then there exists $z_1 \in \mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}$ and $z_2 \in \mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X)}$ such that $z_1 \in z_2+S$.
$\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x) = \cup_{x\in X}[-1,x] = [-1,1]$;
$\mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)} = \{1\}$; $\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X) = \{1\}$;
$\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X)} = \{1\}$. Then $z_1 = 1$, $z_2 = 1$ and $z_1 \in z_2+S$.

The next corollary is a particular case of the above theorem.

Corollary 1 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : X\times X \to Y$ be a vector-valued mapping such that $\cup_{y\in X}f(x,y)$ is a compact set for each $x \in X$.*
If f is $S-$transfer $\mu-$convex on $X\times X$, $f(x,\cdot)$ is properly $S-$quasiconvex on X for each $x\in X$ and $f(\cdot,y)$ is naturally $S-$quasiconcave on X for each $y \in X$, then, there exist $z_1 \in Max\overline{\cup_{x\in X}f(x,x)}$ and $z_2 \in Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X}Max_w f(x,X)}$ such that $z_1 \in z_2+S$.

Another direct application of Lemma 2 is the theorem below, which can be considered close to Theorem 3.2 in [29], by the idea of proof.

Theorem 3 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $F : X\times X \to 2^Y$ be a set-valued map with nonempty values such that $\cup_{y\in X}F(x,y)$ is a compact set for each $x \in X$.*
If F is transfer $\tau-$concave on $X\times X$, $F(\cdot,y)$ is properly $S-$quasiconcave on X for each $y \in X$ and $F(x,\cdot)$ is $S,\nu-$convex on X for each $x \in X$, then there exist $z_1 \in Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}$ and $z_2 \in Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X}Min_w F(X,y)}$ such that $z_1 \in z_2-S$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2, there exists $y^* \in X$ such that $F(y^*,y^*)\cap \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x\in X} F(x,y^*) \neq \emptyset$.

We have $F(y^*,y^*) \subset \overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}$ and, by Lemma 1, it follows that $\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)} \subset \mathrm{Min}\,\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}+S$, so that, $F(y^*,y^*) \subset \mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}F(x,x)}+S$.

On the other hand, $\mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x\in X} F(x,y^*) \subset \overline{\cup_{y\in X}\mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y)}$ and, by Lemma 1, it follows that $\overline{\cup_{y\in X}\mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y)} \subset \mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{y\in X}\mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y)} - S$, so that, $\mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x\in X} F(x,y^*) \subset \mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{y\in X}\mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y)} - S$.

Hence, for every $u \in F(y^*,y^*)$ and $v \in \mathrm{Min}_w \cup_{x\in X} F(x,y^*)$, there exist $z_1 \in \mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X} F(x,x)}$ and $z_2 \in \mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{y\in X}\mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y)}$ such that $u \in z_1 + S$ and $v \in z_2 - S$.

If we take $u = v$, we have $z_1 \in z_2 - S$. $\square$

We obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : X \times X \to Y$ be a vector-valued mapping such that $\cup_{y\in X} f(x,y)$ is a compact set for each $x \in X$.*
If f is transfer $\tau'-$convcave on $X \times X$, $f(\cdot,y)$ is properly $S-$quasiconcave on X for each $y \in X$ and $f(x,\cdot)$ is $S,\nu-$convex on X for each $x \in X$, then there exist $z_1 \in Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X} f(x,x)}$ and $z_2 \in Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X} Min_w f(X,y)}$such that $z_1 \in z_2 - S$.

We introduce the following definition.

Definition 13 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a subset of a hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be a set valued map with nonempty values.*
F is weakly $z-$convex on X for $z \in A \subseteq Z$, if for each $z \in A$ and for any $x_1, ..., x_n \in X$, there exist $y_1^z, y_2^z, ..., y_n^z \in X$, $g^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \varDelta_{n-1} \to \varDelta_{n-1}$ a mapping with g_i^z continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $F(x_i, y_i^z) \cap (z+S) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ imply $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^z(\lambda_i) y_i^z) \cap (z+S) \neq \emptyset$ for each $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \varDelta_{n-1}$.

Example 9 Let $X = [0,1]$, $Y = [0,1]$, $S = [0,\infty)$ and $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be defined by $F(x,y) = \begin{cases} [0,x] \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1; \\ [0,1] \text{ if } 0 \leq y < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$

For each $z \in [0,1)$ and for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, there exists $y_1^z, y_2^z, ..., y_n^z \in X$ with $0 \leq x_i \leq y_i^z$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $F(x_i, y_i^z) \cap (z+S) = [0,x_i] \cap [z,\infty) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$. It follows that $z \leq \min_{i=1,...,n}\{x_i\}$. Consequently, $z \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i$ and $0 \leq x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^z(\lambda_i) y_i^z$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$, for each $g^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \varDelta_{n-1} \to \varDelta_{n-1}$ with g_i^z continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ and for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \varDelta_{n-1}$ and then, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^z(\lambda_i) y_i^z) = [0, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i]$. Hence, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^z(\lambda_i) y_i^z) \cap (z+S) = [0, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i] \cap [z,\infty) \neq \emptyset$.

For $z = 1$ and for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, there exists $y_1^z, y_2^z, ..., y_n^z \in X$ with $0 \leq y_i^z < x_i$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $F(x_i, y_i^z) \cap (z +$

$S) = [0,1] \cap [1,\infty) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$. We have that $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z < x_i$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$, for each $g_i^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_{n-1}$ with g_i^z continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ and for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ and then, $F(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z) = [0,1]$. Therefore, $F(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z) \cap (z+S) = [0,1] \cap [1,\infty) \neq \emptyset$.

If f is a mapping, we obtain the following definition.

Definition 14 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a subset of a hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : X \times X \to Y$ be a mapping.*
f is weakly z-convex on X for $z \in A \subseteq Z$, if for each $z \in A$ and for any $x_1, ..., x_n \in X$, there exist $y_1^z, y_2^z, ..., y_n^z \in X$, $g^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_{n-1}$ a mapping with g_i^z continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $f(x_i, y_i^z) \in z+S$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ imply $f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z) \in z+S$ for each $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$.

Example 10 Let $X = [0,1]$, $Y = [0,1] \times [0,1]$, $S = R_+^2$ and $f : X \times X \to Y$ be defined by $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} (x,y) \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1; \\ (1,y) \text{ if } 0 \leq y < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$

For each $z = (z', z'') \in [0,1) \times [0,1]$ and for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, there exists $y_1^z, y_2^z, ..., y_n^z \in X$ with $0 \leq x_i \leq y_i^z$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $(x_i, y_i^z) = f(x_i, y_i^z) \in (z+S) = [z', \infty) \times [z'', \infty)$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$. It follows that $z' \leq \min_{i=1,...,n}\{x_i\}$. Consequently, $z' \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i$ and $0 \leq x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$, for each $g^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_{n-1}$ with g_i^z continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ and for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ and then, $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z) = f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z) \in (z+S) = [z', \infty) \times [z'', \infty)$.

For $z = (1,y)$ with $y \in [0,1)$ and for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, there exists $y_1^z, y_2^z, ..., y_n^z \in X$ with $0 \leq y_i^z < x_i$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $(1, y_i^z) = f(x_i, y_i^z) \in (z+S) = [1,\infty) \times [y,\infty)$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$. We have that $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z < x_i$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$, for each $g^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_{n-1}$ with g_i^z continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ and for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ and then, $(1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z) = f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^z(\lambda_i)y_i^z) \in (z+S) = [1,\infty) \times [y,\infty)$.

Theorem 4 is a minimax theorem in which the set valued map satisfies the property defined above.

Theorem 4 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be a set-valued map with nonempty values such that $\cup_{y \in X} F(x,y)$ and $\cup_{x \in X} F(x,x)$ are compact sets for each $x \in X$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*
(i) F is weakly $z-$convex for each $z \in Min \overline{\cup_{x \in X} Max_w F(x,X)}$;

(ii) for each $x \in X$, $Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X} Max_w F(x,X)} \subset F(x,X) - S$.
Then, $Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X} Max_w F(x,X)} \subset Max\cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) - S$.

Proof. By assumptions and Lemma 1, $\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$ and $\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X)} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $z \in \mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X)}$ and let us define the set-valued map $T : X \to 2^X$ by

$T(x) = \{y \in X : F(x,y) \cap (z+S) \neq \emptyset\}$ for each $x \in X$. According to assumption (ii), it follows that $T(x)$ is nonempty for each $x \in X$.

According to assumption (i), we have that T is weakly naturally quasi-convex: for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ and $z \in Y$, there exist $y_1^z, y_2^z, ..., y_n^z \in X$, $g^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \mathit{\Delta}_{n-1} \to \mathit{\Delta}_{n-1}$ with g_i continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$, such that for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \mathit{\Delta}_{n-1}$, if $y_i \in T(x_i)$ for each $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$, then, $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^z(\lambda_i) y_i^z \in T(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)$.

Therefore, according to fixed point Theorem 1, there exists $x^* \in T(x^*)$, that is, $F(x^*, x^*) \cap (z+S) \neq \emptyset$.

Then, according to Lemma 1, we have $z \in F(x^*, x^*) - S \subset \cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) - S \subset \mathrm{Max}\cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) - S$. □

Example 11 Let $X = [0,1]$, $Y = [0,1]$, $S = [0,\infty)$ and $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be defined by $F(x,y) = \begin{cases} [0,x] \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1; \\ [0,1] \text{ if } 0 \leq y < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$

We saw in Example 9 that F is weakly $z-$convex for each $z \in Z$.

Further, we have that, for each $x \in X$, $\cup_{y\in X} F(x,y) = [0,1]$ and also $\cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) = \cup_{x\in X}[0,x] = [0,1]$, so that, $\cup_{x\in X} F(x,x)$ and $\cup_{y\in X} F(x,y)$ are compact sets, for each $x \in X$.

$\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X) = \{1\}$ and $\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X)} = \{1\}$.

$F(x,X) - S = (-\infty, 1]$ and then, for each $x \in X$, $\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X)} \subset F(x,X) - S$. All the assumption of Theorem 3 are fulffiled.

Then, $\{1\} = \mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X}\mathrm{Max}_w F(x,X)} \subset \mathrm{Max}\cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) - S = (-\infty, 1]$.

Corollary 3 *Let* X *be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space* E, Y *be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space* Z *and let* S *be a pointed closed convex cone in* Z *with its interior* $intS \neq \emptyset$. *Let* $f : X \times X \to Y$ *be a mapping such that* $\cup_{y\in X} f(x,y)$ *and* $\cup_{x\in X} f(x,x)$ *are compact sets for each* $x \in X$. *Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*
(i) f *is weakly* $z-$*convex for each* $z \in Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X} Max_w f(x,X)}$;
(ii) for each $x \in X$, $Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X} Max_w f(x,X)} \subset f(x,X) - S$.
Then, $Min\overline{\cup_{x\in X} Max_w f(x,X)} \subset Max\cup_{x\in X} f(x,x) - S$.

Example 12 Let $X = [0,1]$, $Y = [0,1] \times [0,1]$, $S = \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $f : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be defined by $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} (x,y) \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1; \\ (1,1) \text{ if } 0 \leq y < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$

We notice that f is not continuous.

The mapping f is weakly $z-$convex for each $z \in Y$.

$\cup_{y\in X} f(x,y) = \{x\} \times [x,1] \cup \{(1,1)\}$ is a compact set;

$\cup_{x\in X} f(x,x) = \{(x,x) : x \in [0,1]\}$ is a compact set;

$\mathrm{Max}_w \cup_{y\in X} f(x,y) = \{1\} \times [0,1] \cup [0,1] \times \{1\}$;

$\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X} \mathrm{Max}_w f(x,X)} = \{1\} \times [0,1] \cup [0,1] \times \{1\}$.

We have that for each $x \in X$, $\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X} \mathrm{Max}_w f(x,X)} \subset f(x,X) - S$ and then, all the assumptions of the Corrollary are satisfied. Hence, $\mathrm{Min}\overline{\cup_{x\in X} \mathrm{Max}_w f(x,X)} \subset$
$\mathrm{Max}\cup_{x\in X} f(x,x) - S$.

Another result is obtained in the same context of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $F : X \times X \to 2^Y$ be a set-valued map with nonempty values such that $\cup_{y\in X} F(x,y)$ and $\cup_{x\in X} F(x,x)$ are compact sets for each $x \in X$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*
(i) F is weakly $z-$convex for each $z \in Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X} Min_w F(X,y)}$;
(ii) for each $x \in X$, $Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X} Min_w F(X,y)} \subset F(X,y) + S$.
Then, $Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X} Min_w F(X,y)} \subset Min \cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) + S$.

Proof. By assumptions and Lemma 1, $\mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in X$ and $\mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{y\in X} \mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y)}$.

Let $z \in \mathrm{Max}\overline{\cup_{y\in X} \mathrm{Min}_w F(X,y)}$ and let us define the set-valued map $Q : X \to 2^X$ by

$Q(y) = \{x \in X : F(x,y) \cap (z - S) \neq \emptyset\}$ for each $y \in X$. According to assumption (ii), it follows that $Q(y)$ is nonempty for each $y \in X$.

By (i), we have that Q is weakly naturally quasiconvex: for any $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ and $z \in Y$, there exist $x_1^z, x_2^z, ..., x_n^z \in X$, $g^z = (g_1^z, g_2^z, ..., g_n^z) : \Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_{n-1}$ with g_i^z continuous, $g_i^z(1) = 1$, $g_i^z(0) = 0$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, such that for each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$, if $x_i \in Q(y_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, then, $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^z(\lambda_i) x_i^z \in Q(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i)$.

Therefore, according to fixed point Theorem 1, there exists $y^* \in Q(y^*)$, that is, $F(y^*, y^*) \cap (z - S) \neq \emptyset$.

Then, by Lemma 1, we have that $z \in F(y^*, y^*) + S \subset \cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) + S \subset \mathrm{Min} \cup_{x\in X} F(x,x) + S$. $\square$

Corollary 4 *Let X be a (n-1) dimensional simplex of a Hausdorff topological vector space E, Y be a compact set in a Hausdorff topological vector space Z and let S be a pointed closed convex cone in Z with its interior $intS \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : X \times X \to Y$ be a mapping such that $\cup_{y\in X} f(x,y)$ and $\cup_{x\in X} f(x,x)$ are compact sets for each $x \in X$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*
(i) f is weakly $z-$convex for each $z \in Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X} Min_w f(X,y)}$;

(ii) for each $x \in X$, $Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X} Min_w f(X,y)} \subset f(X,y)+S$.
Then, $Max\overline{\cup_{y\in X} Min_w f(X,y)} \subset Min \cup_{x\in X} f(x,x)+S$.

5 Concluding remarks

We have proved the existence of equilibria in minimax inequalities without assuming any form of continuity of functions or set-valued maps. New conditions of convexity have been introduced. The main tool to prove our results has been a fixed point theorem for weakly naturally quasiconcave set valued maps. Several examples have been given to illustrate our results.

References

1. Chen G. Y.: *A generalized section theorem and a minimax inequality for a vector-valued mapping,* Optimization 22, 745-754 (1991)
2. Chuang C.-S., Lin L.-J.: *New existence theorems for quasi-equilibrium problems and a minimax theorem on complete metric spaces,* J. Glob. Optim. (2012), DOI 10.1007/s10898-012-0004-3
3. Ding X. and Yiran He: *Best Approximation Theorem for Set-valued Mappings without Convex Values and Continuity,* Appl Math. and Mech. English Edition 19, *9*, 831-836 (1998)
4. Fan K.: *Minimax theorems,* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, **39**, 42-47 (1953)
5. Fan K.: *A Minimax Inequality and Applications,* Inequalities III, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1972.
6. Fan K.: *Sur un theoreme minimax,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 259, 3925-3928 (1964)
7. F. Ferro, *A minimax theorem for vector-valued functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 60, 19-31 (1989)
8. Ferro K.: *A Minimax Theorem for Vector-Valued Functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 68, 35–48, (1991)
9. Ha C. W.: *Minimax and fixed point theorems,* Mathematische Annalen 248, 73-77 (1980)
10. Jahn J.: *Vector Optimization: Theory, Applications and Extensions,* Springer, Berlin, (2004)
11. Li S. J., Chen G. Y. and Lee G. M.: *Minimax theorems for set-valued mappings,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 106, 183-199 (2000)
12. Li S. J., Chen G. Y., *Teo K. L. and Yang X. Q.: Generalized minimax inequalities for set-valued mappings,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 281, 707-723 (2003)
13. Li Z. F. and Wang S. Y.: *A type of minimax inequality for vector-valued mappings.* J Math Anal Appl. 227, 68–80 (1998)
14. Lin Y. J., Tian G.: *Minimax inequalities equivalent to the Fan-Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorems,* Appl. Math. Opt. 28, *2*, 173-179 (1993)
15. Lin L.-J., Du W.-S.: *Systems of equilibrium problems with applications to new variants of Ekeland's variational principle, fixed point theorems and parametric optimization problems,* J. Glob. Optim. 40, 4, 663-677 (2008)

16. D.T. Luc D.T., Vargas C. A.: *A seddle point theorem for set-valued maps,* Nonlinear Anal. **18,** 1-7 (1992).
17. Luo X. Q.: *On some generalized Ky Fan minimax inequalities.* Fixed Point Theory Appl 2009, 9 (2009). Article ID 194671doi:10.1155/2009/194671
18. Nessah R. and G. Tian G.: Existence of Solution of Minimax Inequalities, Equilibria in Games and Fixed PointsWithout Convexity and Compactness Assumptions, J Optim Theory Appl, **157** (2013), 75–95, DOI 10.1007/s10957-012-0176-5
19. Nieuwenhuis J. W.: *Some minimax theorems in vector-valued functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 40, 463-475 (1983)
20. Patriche M.: *Fixed point theorems and applications in theory of games,* Fixed Point Theory, forthcoming
21. Sion M.: *On General Minimax Theorems,* Pac. J. of Math. 8, 171–176, (1958)
22. Tanaka T.: *Some Minimax Problems of Vector-Valued Functions, J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **59**, 505–524 (1988)
23. Tanaka T.: *Generalied quasiconvexities, cone saddle points and minimax theorems for vector-valued functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 81, 355-377 (1994)
24. Tuy H.: *A new topological minimax theorem with application, J. Glob. Optim.* 50, *3,* 371-378 (2011)
25. Zhang Q. B. and Cheng C. Z.: *Some fixed-point theorems and minimax inequalities in FC-space,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328, 1369-1377 (2007)
26. Zhang Q. B., Liu M. J. and Cheng C. Z.: *Generalized saddle points theorems for set-valued mappings in locally generalized convex spaces,* Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009), 212-218.4.
27. Zhang Q., Cheng C. and Li X.: *Generalized minimax theorems for two set-valued mappings,* J. Ind. man. optim. **9** *1* (2013), 1-12, doi:10.3934/jimo.2013.9.1
28. Zhang Y., Li S. J.: *Minimax theorems for scalar set-valued mappings with nonconvex domains and applications,* J. Glob. Optim. (2012), DOI 10.1007/s10898-012-9992-2
29. Zhang Y. and Li S. J.: *Ky Fan minimax inequalities for set-valued mappings,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, 2012:64
30. G. Y. Chen (1991) *A generalized section theorem and a minimax inequality for a vector-valued mapping,* Optimization 22, 745-754
31. C.-S. Chuang, L.-J. Lin (2012) *New existence theorems for quasi-equilibrium problems and a minimax theorem on complete metric spaces,* J. Glob. Optim., DOI 10.1007/s10898-012-0004-3
32. X. Ding and He Yiran (1998), *Best Approximation Theorem for Set-valued Mappings without Convex Values and Continuity,* Appl Math. and Mech. English Edition 19, *9*, 831-836
33. K. Fan (1953), *Minimax theorems,* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, **39**, 42-47
34. K. Fan (1972), *A Minimax Inequality and Applications,* Inequalities III, Academic Press, New York, NY
35. K. Fan (1964), *Sur un theoreme minimax,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 259, 3925-3928
36. F. Ferro (1989), *A minimax theorem for vector-valued functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 60, 19-31
37. K. Ferro (1991) *A Minimax Theorem for Vector-Valued Functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 68, 35–48

38. C. W. Ha (1980), *Minimax and fixed point theorems,* Mathematische Annalen 248, 73-77
39. J. Jahn (2004), *Vector Optimization: Theory, Applications and Extensions,* Springer, Berlin
40. S. J. Li, G. Y. Chen and G. M. Lee (2000), *Minimax theorems for set-valued mappings,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 106, 183-199
41. S. J. Li, G. Y. Chen, *K. L. Teo and X. Q. Yang (2003), Generalized minimax inequalities for set-valued mappings,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 281, 707-723
42. Z. F. Li and S. Y. Wang (1998), *A type of minimax inequality for vector-valued mappings.* J Math Anal Appl. 227, 68–80
43. Y. J. Lin, G. Tian (1993), *Minimax inequalities equivalent to the Fan-Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorems,* Appl. Math. Opt. 28, *2*, 173-179
44. L.-J. Lin , W.-S. Du (2008), *Systems of equilibrium problems with applications to new variants of Ekeland's variational principle, fixed point theorems and parametric optimization problems,* J. Glob. Optim. 40, 4, 663-677
45. D.T. Luc, C. A. Vargas (1992), *A seddle point theorem for set-valued maps,* Nonlinear Anal. **18,** 1-7
46. X. Q. Luo (2009), *On some generalized Ky Fan minimax inequalities.* Fixed Point Theory Appl 2009, 9 (2009). Article ID 194671doi:10.1155/2009/194671
47. R. Nessah and G. Tian (2013), Existence of Solution of Minimax Inequalities, Equilibria in Games and Fixed PointsWithout Convexity and Compactness Assumptions, J Optim Theory Appl, **157** (2013), 75–95, DOI 10.1007/s10957-012-0176-5
48. J. W. Nieuwenhuis (1983), *Some minimax theorems in vector-valued functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 40, 463-475 (1983)
49. M. Patriche, *Fixed point theorems and applications in theory of games,* Fixed Point Theory forthcoming
50. M. Sion M (1958), *On General Minimax Theorems,* Pac. J. of Math. 8, 171–176
51. T. Tanaka (1988), *Some Minimax Problems of Vector-Valued Functions, J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **59**, 505–524
52. T. Tanaka (1994), *Generalied quasiconvexities, cone saddle points and minimax theorems for vector-valued functions,* J. Optim. Theory Appl. 81, 355-377
53. H. Tuy (2011), *A new topological minimax theorem with application, J. Glob. Optim.* 50, *3,* 371-378
54. Q. B. Zhang and C. Z. Cheng (2007), *Some fixed-point theorems and minimax inequalities in FC-space,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328, 1369-1377
55. Q. B. Zhang, M. J. Liu and C. Z. Cheng (2009), *Generalized saddle points theorems for set-valued mappings in locally generalized convex spaces,* Nonlinear Anal., 71, 212-218.4.
56. Q. Zhang, C. Cheng and X. Li (2013), *Generalized minimax theorems for two set-valued mappings,* J. Ind. man. optim. **9** *1*, 1-12, doi:10.3934/jimo.2013.9.1
57. Y. Zhang, S. J. Li (2012), *Minimax theorems for scalar set-valued mappings with nonconvex domains and applications,* J. Glob. Optim. DOI 10.1007/s10898-012-9992-2
58. Y. Zhang and S. J. Li (2012), *Ky Fan minimax inequalities for set-valued mappings,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012:64